Progressive Embedding

Hanxiao Shen, Zhongshi Jiang, Denis Zorin, Daniele Panozzo

Geometric Computing Lab, New York University



New York University





Surface Parametrization

Flatten a surface to a plane



3D Mesh (x,y,z)

New York University









Texture mapping



Cartography

Shape Interpolation

New York University

Applications



[Bommes et al. 2012]

Quadrangulation



[Botsch et.al 2010]

Remeshing





[Gu et al. 2002] Compression







Desirable Properties

Low Geometric Distortion



Local/Global Bijectivity



Control with Positional Constraints

Efficiency & Scalability





New York University





[Hormann & Greiner et al. 2000]











[Schüller et al. 2013]





Distortion-Minimizing Mappings



[Kraevoy et al. 2003]



[Rabinovich et al. 2016]



[Schüller et al. 2013]

New York University





[Smith and Schaefer 2015]



[Jiang et al. 2017]

Tutte Embedding

[Claici et al. 2017]



[Shtengel et al. 2017]



[Liu et al. 2018]



[Wang et al. 2016]



[Fu et al. 2015]





Tutte Embedding

How to draw a graph [Tutte. 1963]



Guarantee for bijectivity

(Meshes are assumed to be 3-connected)

New York University



Map a disk mesh to the interior of **convex** boundary





Robustness Test

The genus 0 models from **Thingi10k** dataset [Zhou & Jacobson 2016]



New York University

Floating Point Implementation of Tutte Embedding from **libigl** [Jacobson et al. 2016]







New York University

Robustness Test



70 flipped elements

80 / 2718 models have flipped elements

60

0



Fixing Tutte Embedding

Obvious Ways to Try

Multi-precision

New York University

Snap Rounding



Fixing Tutte Embedding

Obvious Ways to Try: Multi-precision

Floating Point Arithmetic





64 bits

70 flipped elements

128 bits

0 flipped elements

New York University



• Naive rounding strategy may lead to flips!



Fixing Tutte Embedding

Obvious Ways to Try: Snap Rounding

Arbitrary-precision



Fixed-precision



Snap Rounding [Packer 2018]



New York University



A large part of mesh is snapped to a single point!





Given a possibly invalid embedding with convex boundary for a disk-like surface mesh as input, how do we generate an inversion-free embedding using floating point coordinates?



New York University

Problem Formulation





A triangle is said to be invalid if 1. Its signed area is negative



2. Its quality measure is below a threshold



New York University

Invalid Element

* Exact floating point predicates from CGAL [Brönnimann et al. 2018]

* Symmetric Dirichlet Energy [Smith & Schaefer 2015]



Edge Collapse

New York University

Vertex Split



Basic Operations Progressive Meshes [Hoppe 1996]

• Edge Collapse: Takes two connected vertices and replaces them with a single vertex.

• Vertex Split: Divides the vertex into two new vertices, creates two new triangles

New York University







Progressive Embedding

Algorithm overview



Edge Collapse

New York University

Vertex Split



Initial embedding, with invalid elements





- * Iteratively collapse edges
- * Stop when no invalid elements remain

New York University

Stage 1: Collapse

No invalid elements are left

An edge collapse operation is illegal if the edge violates link condition



Non-manifold!









Existence of Collapsing Sequence



New York University

Theorem: We can always find an edge to collapse until only one interior vertex left.

(For a planar and 3-connected mesh)



Edge Collapse

New York University

Vertex Split



Stage 2: Insertion

Result of stage 1



Theorem: A vertex split reversing any collapse can always be done.



New York University

For implementation simplicity: we consider the inscribed cycle of the 1-ring neighbor of vertex to be split as valid region.



Stage 2: Insertion

Result of stage 1



Local Smoothing:

improve quality after each insertion



- Symmetric Dirichlet Energy [Smith & Schaefer 2015]
- One vertex at a time using Newton iterations [Fu et al. 2015;
 - Hormann & Greiner 2000; Labsik et al. 2000]
- Updated in parallel independently.

New York University

Reference Shape: Equilateral triangle with average area of the whole mesh in the parametric domain



Robustness Test

0 / 2718 model have flipped elements



534 flips

New York University



Tutte Embedding

0 flips

Ours





Mapping triangulated Hele-Shaw polygon [Segall et al. 2016] to the interior of a square



New York University

Results





Distortion-Minimizing Mappings



[Kraevoy et al. 2003]



[Rabinovich et al. 2016]



[Schüller et al. 2013]

New York University



[Li et al. 2018]



[Smith and Schaefer 2015]



[Jiang et al. 2017]





[Kovalsky et al. 2016]



[Claici et al. 2017]



[Shtengel et al. 2017]



[Liu et al. 2018]



[Wang et al. 2016]



[Fu et al. 2015]







OptCuts



OptCuts [Li et al. 2018] Joint Optimization of Surface Cuts and Parameterization

New York University





Distortion-Minimizing Mappings



[Kraevoy et al. 2003]



[Rabinovich et al. 2016]



[Schüller et al. 2013]

New York University



[Li et al. 2018]



[Smith and Schaefer 2015]



[Jiang et al. 2017]





[Kovalsky et al. 2016]



[Claici et al. 2017]



[Shtengel et al. 2017]



[Liu et al. 2018]



[Wang et al. 2016]



[Fu et al. 2015]









Issues:

* Tutte Embedding as a building block may introduce flips. * Target domain must not have self-intersection.

New York University





Self-overlapping Domains



New York University

[Weber & Zorin 2014, Bommes et al. 2009]



Domain Decomposition



New York University



Matchmaker++



New York University

[Weber & Zorin 2014]



Random Hard Constraints



New York University

	Datase	t: 102	model	s from [Myles 8	k Zorii	า 2014]
	Name	#V	#F	#invalid	#flipped	PE(s)	MM++(s
٨	Botijo	43786	83788	0	0	NA	3.9
	Beetle	20619	39276	0	0	NA	1.1
¥	Casting	21236	39438	67	40	27.4	1.3
	Oil pump	54135	103778	5	0	2.3	4.8

(Seams are part of the dataset)





Challenging Stress Test



Name	#V	#F	#invalid	#flipped	PE(s)	MM++(s)
Filigree	49872	100000	32	0	72.4	30.8

New York University



3 random point constraints



Self-overlapping Boundary



New York University

Name	#V	#F	#invalid	#flipped	PE(s)	MM++(s
Fertility	16508	33028	0	0	NA	582.
3 holes	7440	14886	0	0	NA	107.





Summary

- as the Tutte Embedding, but works robustly in floating point coordinates.
- locally injective maps with hard constraints.

• A robust algorithm to compute planar embeddings, which has the same guarantees

• Paired with Matchmaker, our algorithm enables the robust generation of constrained



Thank you!

This work was supported in part through the NYU IT High Performance Computing resources, services, and staff expertise. This work was partially supported by the NSF CAREER award with number 1652515, the NSF grant IIS-1320635, the NSF grant DMS- 1436591, the NSF grant 1835712, a gift from Adobe Research, and a gift from nTopology.

Reference Implementation

https://github.com/hankstag/progressive_embedding

New York University



