ETH zürich



Polarimetric 3D Reconstruction and Image Separation

Zhaopeng Cui ETH Zurich 12.19.2019

Outline

- Polarization and Polarizer
- Polarimetric 3D Reconstruction
 - Polarimetric Multiple-View Stereo [CVPR'2017]
 - Poalrimetric Dense Monocular SLAM [CVPR'2018]
 - Poalrimetric Relative Pose Estimation [ICCV'2019]
- Polarimetric Reflection Separation [NeurIPS'2019]
- Conclusion

Polarization

- Polarization is a characteristic of all transverse waves.
- Oscillation which take places in a transverse wave in many different directions is said to be unpolarized.
- In an unpolarized transverse wave oscillations may take place in any direction at right angles to the direction in which the wave travels.





Polarization by Reflection

- Unpolarized light can be polarized, either partially or completely, by reflection.
- The amount of polarization in the reflected beam depends on the angle of incidence.



Reflection of light off of non-metallic surfaces results in some degree of polarization parallel to the surface.

Polarizer

- Polarizer is made from long chain molecules oriented with their axis perpendicular to the polarizing axis;
- These molecules preferentially absorb light that is polarized along their length.



Polarimetric Imaging

- Images with a Rotating Polarizer
 - Pixel intensity varies with polarizer angles
 - We can recover geometric information from polarized images







Surface Normal from Polarization

• Estimation of the azimuth angle φ (diffuse reflection):

$$I(\phi_{pol}) = \frac{I_{max} + I_{min}}{2} + \frac{I_{max} - I_{min}}{2} \cos(2(\phi_{pol} - \phi))$$
$$\varphi = \phi \qquad \text{or} \qquad \varphi = \phi + \pi$$

• Estimation of the zenith angle θ (diffuse reflection):

$$o = \frac{I_{max} - I_{min}}{I_{max} + I_{min}} = \frac{(n - 1/n)^2 \sin^2 \theta}{2 + 2n^2 - (n + 1/n) \sin^2 \theta + 4 \cos \theta \sqrt{n^2 - \sin^2 \theta}}$$

• Estimation of the surface normal v:

$$\mathbf{v} = (v_x, v_y, v_z)^{\mathrm{T}} = (\cos\varphi\sin\theta, -\sin\varphi\sin\theta, -\cos\theta)^{\mathrm{T}}$$

ETH zürich

Polarimetric 3D Reconstruction



Polarimetric Multiple-View Stereo





Polarimetric Dense Monocular SLAM

Polarimetric Relative Pose Estimation

Traditional Multi-View Stereo

- Given several images of the same object or scene, compute a representation of its 3D shape.
- Traditional methods usually failed for *featureless* objects.



Input Sample

Shape from Surface Normal





[Xie et al. CVPR'19]

Challenges

Surface normal estimation from polarization is hard:

- Refractive distortion: Zenith angle estimation requires the knowledge of the refractive index.
- Azimuthal ambiguity: The estimation of the azimuthal angle has π -ambiuity.

$$I(\phi_{pol}) = \frac{I_{max} + I_{min}}{2} + \frac{I_{max} - I_{min}}{2} \cos(2(\phi_{pol} - \phi))$$

$$\varphi = \phi$$
 or $\varphi = \phi + \pi$

Mixed reflection in real environment.

Mixed Reflection



Proposition 1. Under unpolarized illumination, the measured scene radiance from a reflective surface through a linear polarizer at a polarization angle ψ_{pol} is

$$I(\emptyset_{pol}) = \frac{I_{max} + I_{min}}{2} + \frac{I_{max} - I_{min}}{2} \cos\left(2(\emptyset_{pol} - \emptyset)\right),$$

where I_{max} and I_{min} are the maximum and minimum measured radiance.

The phase angle ϕ is related to the azimuth angle ϕ as follows:

$$\phi = \begin{cases} \varphi & \text{if polarized diffuse reflection dominates} \\ \varphi - \frac{\pi}{2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\pi/2\text{-ambiguity}$$

* The azimuthal (π) ambiguity still holds.

- Exploit polarimetric information for dense reconstruction:
 - Use geometric information to help resolve ambiguities of polarimetric information



• Use geometric information to help resolve $\pi/2$ -ambiguity



 $S(f_p, f_q)$ enforces neighboring pixels to have similar azimuth angles.

- Exploit polarimetric information for dense reconstruction:
 - Use geometric information to help resolve ambiguities of polarimetric information
 - Use polarimetric information to improve geometric information



- Iso-depth contour tracing: Propagate reliable depth values along iso-depth contour
 - 1. Phase angle determine the projected surface normal direction (with π -ambiguity)
 - 2. From the normal, we can get iso-depth contour on which the pixels have with the same depth
 - 3. Propagate sparse depth values along iso-depth contour





Per-frame depth optimization



Polarimetric Multi-View Stereo Supplementary Material

Paper ID 579



DSLR + Polarizer Filters

Rotate the polarizer filter manually



Polarization camera



Sensor Structure

video with multiple polarized image



- Phase angle disambiguation: Using rough depth to solve the $\pi/2$ -ambiguity
 - Intuition: The correct iso-contour should have less depth variation.
 - Strategy: Trace two local contours, select the one with less depth variance.



Captured Polarized Images



0° 30° 60° 90° 120° 150° 180° *Phase Angle Map*



Disambiguation Results

- Depth propagation along contours
 - Issue: wrong propagation caused by noisy 3D points
 - Solution: Two-View propagation and validation





SFU SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY ENGAGING THE WORLD

Traditional Relative Pose Estimation

• 5-point algorithm:



Challenges

Surface normal estimation from polarization is hard:

- Refractive distortion: Zenith angle estimation requires the knowledge of the refractive index
- Azimuthal ambiguity: The estimation of the azimuthal angle has π -ambiuity

$$I(\phi_{pol}) = \frac{I_{max} + I_m}{2} \qquad 4^n \text{ possibilities given n} \\ \varphi = \phi \qquad \text{or} \qquad \varphi = \varphi + \pi$$

Mixed reflection in real environment.

Two-point relative pose estimation:

- Step 1. Solve the relative rotation: $\min_{R \in SO(3)} ||Rv_1 - v'_1||^2 + ||Rv_2 - v'_2||^2$ $R = U \operatorname{diag} (1,1, \operatorname{det} (UV^T)) V^T$ $U\Sigma V^T = v'_1 v_1^T + v'_2 v_2^T$
- Step 2. Solve the relative translation:
 x'_i ⋅ (t × Rx_i) = t ⋅ (Rx_i × x'_i) = 0, i = 1,2
 t = (Rx₁ × x'₁) × (Rx₂ × x'₂)



Step 3. Hypothesis validation to choose the one which has the largest consensus.

Resolving the azimuth angle ambiguity

 We can recover the correct azimuth angles (φ, φ') by considering the alignment error:

 $\|\operatorname{Rv}(\varphi) - \operatorname{v}'(\varphi')\|^2$

For each correspondence we only need to check four cases:

$$(\phi, \phi'), (\phi + \pi, \phi'), (\phi, \phi' + \pi) \text{ and } (\phi + \pi, \phi' + \pi),$$

and select the one which minimizes the alignment residual.

Polarimetric two-view local refinement: Optimizing jointly over the relative pose and the refractive indices:

$$\min_{\mathbf{R}\in SO(3), \mathbf{t}\in \mathbb{S}^{2}, \{n_{i}\}} f_{samp}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t}) + f_{norm}(\mathbf{R}, \{n_{i}\}) + f_{prior}(\{n_{i}\}),$$

where $f_{samp}(R, t)$ is the standard squared Sampson loss,

$$f_{norm}(\mathbf{R}, \{n_i\}) = \gamma_{normal} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v}_i(n_i) - \mathbf{v}'_i(n_i)\|^2,$$

$$f_{prior}(\{n_i\}) = \gamma_{prior} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (n_i - n_i^0)^2.$$

Comparison with 5-point algorithm on synthetic data

	5-р	oint	Ours			
	Initial	Sampson	Initial	Sampson	Optimized	
R _{err}	6.10	4.95	2.30	3.59	1.80	
t _{err}	9.30	7.37	3.25	4.08	2.52	



Performance with different initial guess of the refractive index



Outline

- Background
- Polarimetric 3D Reconstruction
 - Polarimetric Multiple-View Stereo [CVPR'2017]
 - Poalrimetric Dense Monocular SLAM [CVPR'2018]
 - Poalrimetric Relative Pose Estimation [ICCV'2019]
- Polarimetric Reflection Separation [NeurIPS'2019]
- Conclusion

ETH zürich

Reflection Separation



ETH zürich

- **Reflection Separation**
- An ill-posed problem



Captured



Reflection I_r



+

Transmission I_t

Previous Solutions

Additional Priors



Additional Input

Different viewpoints



[Wieschollek et al. 18] Zhaopeng Cui | 12/20/2019

37

We design an end-to-end neural network which takes a pair of (un)polarized images for reflection separation based on a new physical image formation model.

New Setup: (un)polarized images



New Setup: (un)polarized images



New Setup: (un)polarized images

Without polarizer:

$$I_{unpol}(x) = I_r(x) \cdot \frac{\xi(x)}{2} + I_t(x) \cdot \frac{2 - \xi(x)}{2}$$

With polarizer:

$$I_{pol}(x) = I_r(x) \cdot \frac{\zeta(x)}{2} + I_t(x) \cdot \frac{1 - \zeta(x)}{2}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} I_{unpol}(x), I_{pol}(x) \\ \\ \theta(x), \phi_{\perp}(x) \end{array} \right\} \quad \Rightarrow I_t(x), \ I_r(x)$$

How to compute $\theta(x)$ and $\phi_{\perp}(x)$?

Physical Image Formation Model



Physical Image Formation Model



Physical Image Formation Model

Without polarizer:

$$I_{unpol}(x) = I_r(x) \cdot \frac{\xi(x)}{2} + I_t(x) \cdot \frac{2 - \xi(x)}{2}$$

With polarizer:

$$I_{pol}(x) = I_r(x) \cdot \frac{\zeta(x)}{2} + I_t(x) \cdot \frac{1 - \zeta(x)}{2}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{unpol}(x), I_{pol}(x) \\ \theta(x), \phi_{\perp}(x) \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow I_{t}(x), I_{r}(x)$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{unpol}(x), I_{pol}(x) \\ \alpha, \beta \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow I_{t}(x), I_{r}(x)$$









Evaluation on Synthetic Data

		Ours	Ours- Initial	ReflectNet- Finetuned	Ours- 2% noise	Ours- 8% noise	Ours- 16% noise
Transmission	SSIM	0.9708	0.8324	0.9627	0.9691	0.9668	0.9619
	PSNR	28.23	21.61	27.52	28.08	27.31	27.17
Reflection	SSIM	0.8953	0.6253	0.8303	0.8785	0.8418	0.8022
	PSNR	20.92	13.90	18.50	20.53	19.18	18.26

Evaluation on Synthetic Data



[1] P. Wieschollek, O. Gallo, J. Gu, and J. Kautz. Separating reflection and transmission images in the wild. In Proc. ECCV, 2018.
 [2] R. Wan, B. Shi, L.-Y. Duan, A.-H. Tan, and A. C. Kot. CRRN: Multi-scale guided concurrent reflection removal network. In Proc. CVPR, 2018
 [3] X. Zhang, R. Ng, and Q. Chen. Single image reflection separation with perceptual losses. In Proc. CVPR, 2018.

Evaluation on Synthetic Data



[1] P. Wieschollek, O. Gallo, J. Gu, and J. Kautz. Separating reflection and transmission images in the wild. In Proc. ECCV, 2018.
 [2] R. Wan, B. Shi, L.-Y. Duan, A.-H. Tan, and A. C. Kot. CRRN: Multi-scale guided concurrent reflection removal network. In Proc. CVPR, 2018
 [3] X. Zhang, R. Ng, and Q. Chen. Single image reflection separation with perceptual losses. In Proc. CVPR, 2018.

Evaluation on Real-World Data



[1] P. Wieschollek, O. Gallo, J. Gu, and J. Kautz. Separating reflection and transmission images in the wild. In Proc. ECCV, 2018.

52

Conclusion

- Polarization conveys both geometric and physical cues of the surrounding environment.
- The encoded rough geometric information in polarization can contribute to 3D reconstruction.
- The polarization is helpful for image reflection separation.

Future Work

- The current physical model for polarization is ideal to some extent, and more complex model should be studied.
- Polarization can be applied to other vision tasks, including image segmentation, image dehazing, etc.

Collaborators



Marc Pollefeys @ETH Zurich



Ping Tan @SFU



Jinwei Gu @SenseTime



Jan Kautz @NVIIDA



Boxin Shi @Peking University



Yasutaka Furukawa @SFU



Viktor Larsson @ETH Zurich



Luwei Yang @SFU



Feitong Tan @SFU



Youwei Lyu @BUPT

Related work

- [1] Polarimetric Multi-View Stereo. Zhaopeng Cui, Jinwei Gu, Boxin Shi, Ping Tan, and Jan Kautz. CVPR, 2017.
- [2] Polarimetric Dense Monocular SLAM. Luwei Yang*, Feitong Tan*, Ao Li, Zhaopeng Cui, Yasutaka Furukawa, and Ping Tan. CVPR, 2018.
- [3] Polarimetric Relative Pose Estimation. Zhaopeng Cui, Viktor Larsson, and Marc Pollefeys. ICCV, 2019.
- [4] Reflection Separation using a Pair of Unpolarized and Polarized Images. Youwei Lyu*, Zhaopeng Cui*, Si Li, Marc Pollefeys, and Boxin Shi. NeurIPS, 2019.

Thanks

Q&A