Differentiable Cloth Simulation for Inverse Problems

Junbang Liang



Content

- Motivation
- Related Work
- Our Method
 - Simulation pipeline
 - Gradient Computation
- Results

Motivation

- Differentiable Physics Simulation as a Network Layer
 - Physical property estimation
 - Control of physical systems



Trainable Network Layers

Differentiable Simulation Layer

Motivation

- Differentiable Physics Simulation as a Network Layer
 - Physical property estimation
 - Control of physical systems



Yang et al. (2017)

Motion Control - Optimization





Baseline - Point Mass

Ours

Demo of our differentiable simulation

Content

- Motivation
- Related Work
- Our Method
 - Simulation pipeline
 - Gradient Computation
- Results

Related Work

- Differentiable rigid body simulation
 - Formulation not scalable to high dimensionality

Belbute-Peres et al. 2019

Degrave et al. 2019



Related Work

- Learning-based physics [Li et al. 2018]
 - Unable to guarantee physical correctness



Our Contributions

- Dynamic collision handling to reduce dimensionality
- Gradient computation of collision response using implicit differentiation
- Optimized backpropagation using QR decomposition

Content

- Motivation
- Related Work
- Our Method
 - Simulation pipeline
 - Gradient Computation
- Results

Introduction to Simulation

• Partial differential equation (PDE) of Newton's law:

• Solve
$$y(x,t)$$
 satisfying $rac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2}=rac{1}{
ho}f(y,rac{\partial y}{\partial x})$, where $y(x,0)=y_0(x)$

- Discretization to ordinary differential equations (ODE):
- Solve $\mathbf{y}(t) = [y(x_i, t)]_i$ satisfying $\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{m}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}, \frac{\Delta \mathbf{y}}{\Delta \mathbf{x}})$, where $\mathbf{y}(0) = [y_0(x_i)]_i$

Introduction to Simulation

• Partial differential equation (PDE) of Newton's law:

• Solve
$$y(x,t)$$
 satisfying $rac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2}=rac{1}{
ho}f(y,rac{\partial y}{\partial x})$, where $y(x,0)=y_0(x)$

- Discretization to ordinary differential equations (ODE):
- Solve $\mathbf{y}(t) = [y(x_i, t)]_i$ satisfying $\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{m}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}, \frac{\Delta \mathbf{y}}{\Delta \mathbf{x}})$, where $\mathbf{y}(0) = [y_0(x_i)]_i$

Point Cloud Simulation Flow

- 1. Init $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{v}_0, \Delta t, t = 0$
- 2. Compute $\Delta \mathbf{v}$ from $\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{v}_t$

$$\circ \quad \Delta \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \mathbf{v}_{t+1}) * \Delta t$$

- Newton's method
- 3. $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{v}_{t+1} * \Delta t, \mathbf{v}_{t+1} = \mathbf{v}_t + \Delta \mathbf{v}$
- 4. t = t + 1, goto 2

Cloth Simulation Flow

- 1. Init $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{v}_0, \Delta t, t = 0$
- 2. Compute $\Delta \mathbf{v}$ from $\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{v}_t$
 - $\circ \quad \Delta \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \mathbf{v}_{t+1}) * \Delta t$
 - Newton's method
- 3. $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{t+1} * \Delta t, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{t+1} = \mathbf{v}_t + \Delta \mathbf{v}$
- 4. $\mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \mathbf{v}_{t+1} = \text{resolve_collision}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}_{t+1}, \mathbf{\tilde{v}}_{t+1})$
- 5. t = t + 1, goto 2

Collision Response

- Collision Detection: $dist(node_i, face_j, t) < \delta$, where δ is the cloth thickness, and t is some time between two steps.
- Objective: introduce minimum energy to avoid collision:

$$dist(\mathsf{node}_i, \mathsf{face}_j, t) - \delta \ge 0$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$C_{ee} = \boldsymbol{n} \cdot [(\alpha_3 \boldsymbol{x}_3 + \alpha_4 \boldsymbol{x}_4) - (\alpha_1 \boldsymbol{x}_1 + \alpha_2 \boldsymbol{x}_2)]$$

$$C_{vf} = \boldsymbol{n} \cdot [\boldsymbol{x}_4 - (\alpha_1 \boldsymbol{x}_1 + \alpha_2 \boldsymbol{x}_2 + \alpha_3 \boldsymbol{x}_3)]$$



Collision Response

- Collision Detection: $dist(node_i, face_j, t) < \delta$, where δ is the cloth thickness, and t is some time between two steps.
- Objective: introduce minimum energy to avoid collision:

 $dist(node_i, face_j, t) - \delta \ge 0$

- Constraint formulation: $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h} \leq \mathbf{0}$
- Objective formulation: Quadratic Programming:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{z}}{\text{minimize}} & \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x})^{\top} \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}) \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{G}\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{h} \leq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

Mesh Simulation Flow: Backpropagation

Gradient computation available?

?

2

- 1. Init $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{v}_0, \Delta t, t = 0$
- 2. Compute $\Delta \mathbf{v}$ from $\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{v}_t$

$$\circ \quad \Delta \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \mathbf{v}_{t+1}) * \Delta t$$

• Newton's method

3.
$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{t+1} * \Delta t, \, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{t+1} = \mathbf{v}_t + \Delta \mathbf{v}$$

- 4. $\mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \mathbf{v}_{t+1} = \text{resolve_collision}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}_{t+1}, \mathbf{\tilde{v}}_{t+1})$
- 5. t = t + 1, goto 2

Handled by auto-differentiation

- Handled by auto-differentiation
 - Handled by auto-differentiation

Mesh Simulation Flow: Backpropagation

Gradient computation available?

2

- 1. Init $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{v}_0, \Delta t, t = 0$
- 2. Compute $\Delta \mathbf{v}$ from $\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{v}_t$
 - $\circ \quad \Delta \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \mathbf{v}_{t+1}) * \Delta t$
 - Newton's method
- 3. $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{t+1} * \Delta t, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{t+1} = \mathbf{v}_t + \Delta \mathbf{v}$
- 4. $\mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \mathbf{v}_{t+1} = \text{resolve_collision}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}_{t+1}, \mathbf{\tilde{v}}_{t+1})$
- 5. t = t + 1, goto 2

Using implicit differentiation!

Implicit Differentiation: Linear Solve

- Formulation: $\hat{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{f}$
- Input: $\hat{\mathbf{M}}$ and \mathbf{f} . Output: \mathbf{a}
- Back propagation: use $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{a}}$ to compute $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{M}}}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{f}}$
 - \mathcal{L} : the loss function.

Implicit Differentiation: Linear Solve

- Back propagation: use $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{a}}$ to compute $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{M}}}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{f}}$, where \mathcal{L} is the loss function.
- Implicit differentiation form: $\hat{\mathbf{M}}\partial \mathbf{a} = \partial \mathbf{f} \partial \hat{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{a}$

• Solution:
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{M}}} = -\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{z}^{\top} \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\top}$$

where $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is computed from $\hat{\mathbf{M}}^{\top}\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{a}}^{\top}$, and \mathbf{z} is the solution of $\hat{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{f}$.

Mesh Simulation Flow: Backpropagation

Gradient computation available?

- 1. Init $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{v}_0, \Delta t, t = 0$
- 2. Compute $\Delta \mathbf{v}$ from $\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{v}_t$
 - $\circ \quad \Delta \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \mathbf{v}_{t+1}) * \Delta t$
 - Newton's method
- 3. $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{t+1} * \Delta t, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{t+1} = \mathbf{v}_t + \Delta \mathbf{v}$
- 4. $\mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \mathbf{v}_{t+1} = \text{resolve_collision}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}_{t+1}, \mathbf{\tilde{v}}_{t+1})$
- 5. t = t + 1, goto 2

Using implicit differentiation!

Gradients of Collision?





Collision Handling

Objective formulation: Quadratic Programming

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{z}}{\text{minimize}} & \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x})^{\top} \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}) \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{G}\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{h} \leq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

z: optimized vertex positionsW: weight matrixG, h: constraint matrices

Gradients of Collision Response

• Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) condition:

 $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{z}^* - \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{G}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda}^* = 0$ $D(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^*)(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{z}^* + \mathbf{h}) = 0$

• Implicit differentiation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{G}^{\top} \\ D(\lambda^*)\mathbf{G} & D(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{z}^* + \mathbf{h}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial \mathbf{z} \\ \partial \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}\partial \mathbf{x} - \partial \mathbf{G}^{\top}\lambda^* \\ -D(\lambda^*)(\partial \mathbf{G}\mathbf{z}^* + \partial \mathbf{h}) \end{bmatrix}$$

- z: current vertex positions
- W: weight matrix
- G, h: constraint matrices
- λ : Augmented Lagrangian multiplier
- D(): diagonalize operator
- *: optimization output

Gradients of Collision Response

• Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} &= \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{z}}^{T} \mathbf{W} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{G}} &= -D(\lambda^{*}) \mathbf{d}_{\lambda} \mathbf{z}^{*\top} - \lambda^{*} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{z}}^{\top} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{h}} &= -\mathbf{d}_{\lambda}^{T} D(\lambda^{*}). \end{aligned}$$

• where dz and d λ is provided by the linear equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{G}^{\top} D(\lambda^*) \\ \mathbf{G} & D(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{z}^* + \mathbf{h}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{z}} \\ \mathbf{d}_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{z}}^{\top} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

Acceleration of Gradient Computation

- $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{G}^{\top} D(\lambda^*) \\ \mathbf{G} & D(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{z}^* + \mathbf{h}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{z}} \\ \mathbf{d}_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{z}}^{\top} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$
- Linear system of n+m
 - n: DOFs in the impacts
 - m: number of constraints/impacts
- Insight: Optimized point moves along the tangential direction w.r.t. constraint gradient



Acceleration of Gradient Computation

- $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{G}^{\top} D(\lambda^*) \\ \mathbf{G} & D(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{z}^* + \mathbf{h}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{z}} \\ \mathbf{d}_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{z}}^{\top} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$
- Linear system of n+m
 - n: DOFs in the impacts
 - m: number of constraints/impacts
- Insight: Optimized point moves along the tangential direction w.r.t. constraint gradient



Acceleration of Gradient Computation

• Explicit solution of the linear equation:

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{z}} = \sqrt{\mathbf{W}}^{-1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}^{\top}) \sqrt{\mathbf{W}}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{z}}^{\top}$$
$$\mathbf{d}_{\lambda} = D(\lambda^{*})^{-1} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}^{\top} \sqrt{\mathbf{W}}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{z}}^{\top}$$

where Q and R is obtained from:

$$\sqrt{\mathbf{W}}^{-1}\mathbf{G}^{\top} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R}$$

- Theoretical speedup: $O((n+m)^3) \rightarrow O(nm^2)$
 - n: number of vertices
 - m: number of constraints



Content

- Motivation
- Related Work
- Our Method
 - Simulation pipeline
 - Gradient Computation
- Results

Experimental Results

- Ablation study
 - Backpropagation speedup
- Applications
 - Material estimation
 - Motion control

Ablation Study

- Speed improvement in backpropagation
- Scene setting: a large piece of cloth crumpled inside a pyramid



Results

- Speed improvement in backpropagation
- Scene setting: a large piece of cloth crumpled inside a pyramid

Mesh	Baseline		Ours		Speedup	
resolution	Matrix size	Runtime (s)	Matrix size	Runtime (s)	Matrix size	Runtime
16x16	599 ± 76	0.33 ± 0.13	66 ± 26	$\textbf{0.013} \pm \textbf{0.0019}$	8.9	25
32x32	1326 ± 23	1.2 ± 0.10	97 ± 24	$\textbf{0.011} \pm \textbf{0.0023}$	13	112
64x64	2024 ± 274	4.6 ± 0.33	242 ± 47	$\textbf{0.072} \pm \textbf{0.011}$	8.3	64

The runtime performance of gradient computation is significantly improved by up to two orders of magnitude.

Material Estimation

• Scene setting: A piece of cloth hanging under gravity and a constant wind force.



Results

- Application: Material estimation
- Scene setting: A piece of cloth hanging under gravity and a constant wind force.

Method	Runtime (sec/step/iter)	Density Error (%)	Non-Ln Streching Stiffness Error (%)	Ln Streching Stiffness Error (%)	Bending Stiffness Error (%)	Simulation Error (%)
Baseline	-	68 ± 46	74 ± 23	160 ± 119	70 ± 42	12 ± 3.0
L-BFGS [30]	2.89 ± 0.02	4.2 ± 5.6	64 ± 34	72 ± 90	70 ± 43	4.9 ± 3.3
Ours	$\textbf{2.03} \pm \textbf{0.06}$	$\textbf{1.8} \pm \textbf{2.0}$	57 ± 29	45 ± 41	77 ± 36	$\textbf{1.6} \pm \textbf{1.4}$

Our method achieves the fastest speed and the smallest overall error.

Application: Material Estimation

Motion Control - Optimization



Motion Control

• Scene setting: A piece of cloth being lifted and dropped to a basket.



Results

- Application: Motion control
- Scene setting: A piece of cloth being lifted and dropped to a basket.

Method	Error (%)	Samples
Point Mass	111	
PPO [18]	432	10,000
Ours	17	53
Ours+FC	39	108

Our method achieves the best performance with a much smaller number of simulations.

Application: Motion Control

Motion Control - Optimization



Conclusion

- Differentiable simulation
 - Applicable to optimization tasks
 - Embedded in neural networks for learning and control
- Fast backpropagation for collision response

Future Work

- Optimization of the computation graph
 - Vectorization
 - PyTorch3D/DiffTaichi
- Integrate with other materials
 - Rigid body, deformable body, articulated body, etc

Q&A